



This is a digital copy of a book that was preserved for generations on library shelves before it was carefully scanned by Google as part of a project to make the world's books discoverable online.

It has survived long enough for the copyright to expire and the book to enter the public domain. A public domain book is one that was never subject to copyright or whose legal copyright term has expired. Whether a book is in the public domain may vary country to country. Public domain books are our gateways to the past, representing a wealth of history, culture and knowledge that's often difficult to discover.

Marks, notations and other marginalia present in the original volume will appear in this file - a reminder of this book's long journey from the publisher to a library and finally to you.

Usage guidelines

Google is proud to partner with libraries to digitize public domain materials and make them widely accessible. Public domain books belong to the public and we are merely their custodians. Nevertheless, this work is expensive, so in order to keep providing this resource, we have taken steps to prevent abuse by commercial parties, including placing technical restrictions on automated querying.

We also ask that you:

- + *Make non-commercial use of the files* We designed Google Book Search for use by individuals, and we request that you use these files for personal, non-commercial purposes.
- + *Refrain from automated querying* Do not send automated queries of any sort to Google's system: If you are conducting research on machine translation, optical character recognition or other areas where access to a large amount of text is helpful, please contact us. We encourage the use of public domain materials for these purposes and may be able to help.
- + *Maintain attribution* The Google "watermark" you see on each file is essential for informing people about this project and helping them find additional materials through Google Book Search. Please do not remove it.
- + *Keep it legal* Whatever your use, remember that you are responsible for ensuring that what you are doing is legal. Do not assume that just because we believe a book is in the public domain for users in the United States, that the work is also in the public domain for users in other countries. Whether a book is still in copyright varies from country to country, and we can't offer guidance on whether any specific use of any specific book is allowed. Please do not assume that a book's appearance in Google Book Search means it can be used in any manner anywhere in the world. Copyright infringement liability can be quite severe.

About Google Book Search

Google's mission is to organize the world's information and to make it universally accessible and useful. Google Book Search helps readers discover the world's books while helping authors and publishers reach new audiences. You can search through the full text of this book on the web at <http://books.google.com/>

520
.R8
L48
cop.2

B 439480

eeper, Justice of Rumania's Cause

719 307
UNIV. OF MICH.
LIBRARY

THE JUSTICE OF RUMANIA'S CAUSE

TO
A. W. A. LEEPER

HODDER AND STOUGHTON,
LONDON AND YORK

D
520
.R8
L48
copy 2

THE JUSTICE OF RUMANIA'S CAUSE

Alexander
By
W. Wigram Allen
A. W. A. LEEPER

HODDER AND STOUGHTON
LONDON NEW YORK TORONTO

MCMXVII

Copies can be obtained from
THE G. H. DORAN COMPANY, NEW YORK
Price 5 cents

The Justice of Rumania's Cause.

The moral significance of Rumania's intervention in the great war has probably been understood less fully than any other important event which has taken place since August 1914. Even among Rumania's allies, welcome as Rumania's help was to them, and well disposed as they were to give her their help in return, there was lacking adequate knowledge of the vital issues for democracy and freedom at stake in Rumanian lands. Among countries then neutral there was probably even less understanding of the questions at issue. For instance, in one of the foremost papers of the Anglo-Saxon world we find the following passage :—

"In at least two minor respects they [the terms enunciated in the Allies' Note to President Wilson] are wholly immoral, in that they contemplate the seizure of territory that never belonged to Italy or Roumania in order to pay the bribes that these two eminently sordid Governments exacted as their price for entering the war."

(*New York World*, Jan. 12.)

"Wholly immoral"; "never belonged to Rumania"; "these eminently sordid Governments"; "their price for entering the war"—let us analyse shortly the justice of these remarks in the light of the history of the

THE JUSTICE OF RUMANIA'S CAUSE

Rumanian race, of Rumania's position to-day and of her future prospects.

THE HISTORICAL RIGHTS AND WRONGS OF THE RUMANIAN RACE.

What is "Rumania"? Who are the "Rumanians"? So many false and misleading statements have been made by partisan writers about the origins and constitution of the Rumanian race, so often a purely arbitrary and restricted meaning is given to the term "Rumania," that it is worth while to point out clearly the full and proper signification of the two names. Modern "Rumania" is a term of barely 70 years' usage. Formed by the union of the two principalities of Wallachia and Moldavia, in 1859, the kingdom of Rumania includes only a part of the Rumanian race. Over a million Rumans live in the old Moldavian, since 1812 Russian, province of Bessarabia. A quarter of a million inhabit Bukovina, which the Habsburg Empress seized in 1775. Small fragments of the race are to be found in N.E. Serbia, in S.W. Macedonia, and Thessaly. But by far the greater part of "unredeemed" Rumania is still governed by the Hungarian Crown. Hungarian official statistics (1910) give the number of Rumans in Hungary as 2,949,032. This is a minimum estimate. Hungarian census estimates are notoriously "touched up." Rumanian writers show good grounds for the belief that there

THE JUSTICE OF RUMANIA'S CAUSE

are at least 3,935,120 Rumans in Hungary, and probably considerably more than 4,000,000. Moreover, these Rumans mostly live in a compact mass in contact with the Rumanian kingdom. According to the Hungarian census of 1910 more than five-sixths of the Rumanian population of Hungary lived either in Transylvania—of the 15 Transilvanian counties, eight had a Rumanian majority of 64 to 89 per cent., four a substantial minority of from 35 to 48 per cent., while three (Udvarhely, Csík and Háromszék) were purely Szekler (Magyar)—or in the four adjoining counties of Krassó-Szörémy (72·1 per cent.), Szilágy (59·1 per cent.), Arad (57·81 per cent.), and Temes (34 per cent.). Rumania “beyond the Carpathians” is, therefore, a compact country, geographically united with the kingdom.

Unable to deny, while they seek to minimise, the Rumanian majority in Transylvania and the adjoining counties, Hungarian and other anti-Rumanian controversial writers fall back on two main lines of argument:—(1) That the Rumans are intruders of much later date than the Magyars. (2) That there is no “irredentist” problem, and that the non-Magyar nationalities have no reason or wish to be separated from Hungary. The first is a much less important point than the second, and can be more quickly dismissed.

To us modern Europeans and Americans it appears a matter of little import what nation

THE JUSTICE OF RUMANIA'S CAUSE

has medieval or earlier history on its side in claiming certain territories. But Hungarian writers have laid great stress on the fact that their occupation of Transilvania, the Banat, &c., preceded that of the "Vlach" (or Ruman) population by three or four centuries. There are little or no contemporary records extant, and we are forced to speculate from such evidence as we have. All that is known for certain is that the Magyars did not begin to occupy Transilvania till the 10th century. In the 12th century began the systematic introduction by the Hungarian kings of the Saxon colonists who built up the prosperous communities of Siebenbürgen (Kronstadt, Hermannstadt, Klausenburg, &c.). According to Hungarian contentions, "Vlach shepherds" only began to filter into Transilvania during the Middle Ages (14th and 15th centuries), and are thus "intruders" in Magyar lands. Such a contention, however, does not explain who were the pre-Magyar inhabitants of the province of whose existence, side by side with the invaders, there are plentiful indications. It does not explain the great number of place names of Rumanian origin. Finally, on this theory, it is quite inexplicable how, in spite of oppression and suppression for centuries, the Rumans of Hungary should now be in a great majority in Transilvania and the adjoining counties.

Neither Magyars nor Saxons make nor can make any claim to have been in Transilvania

THE JUSTICE OF RUMANIA'S CAUSE

before the 10th century. Rightly or wrongly, the Rumans do make this claim very emphatically. Ethnological and linguistic evidence supports the theory that they are in the main a blend of two races—the original Dacian people of Transilvania and Wallachia, whom Trajan conquered at the beginning of the 2nd century, and the Romans and Romanised Thracians and Illyrians who were partly settled there by the Roman emperors as colonists, but to a still larger extent drifted in as traders and settlers. The sole criterion of nationality worth respecting is that of consciousness of a certain origin and tradition. This the Rumans of Hungary possess very strongly. The old Roman names—Traian, Aurelian, Octavian, Titu, Valeriu, Severin, &c.—are frequent amongst them. Almost equal respect is paid to their Dacian ancestry. In his tragedy, “Ovidiu” [the poet Ovid, who was banished to and died at Tomi, near Constantza], the great Rumanian poet, Vasile Alecsandri, insists that Dacia was a worthy foe even for Rome. If history and historical consciousness are appealed to, there is everything to be said for the Rumanian and very little for the Magyar claim.

But let us turn from such academic arguments to contemporary facts. We have seen that in Transilvania and the adjoining counties of Hungary the Rumans form a great majority of the population. During the many centuries of Hungarian rule this majority has been systematically ill-treated and denied its rights. In the princi-

THE JUSTICE OF RUMANIA'S CAUSE

pality of Transylvania—both in its medieval and its Turkish (1526–1691) period—the Ruman population was denied the civil and religious privileges granted to the dominant Magyar and German population. When in 1691 the Habsburg succeeded the Turk as the suzerain of Transylvania, the Emperor Leopold I granted the principality a diploma guaranteeing the continuance of its distinctive privileges. No proper provision was made, however, to safeguard the Rumanian majority, and the result was growing unrest throughout the 18th century, culminating in the peasant revolt led by Horea in 1784 and the petition called “*Supplex Libellus Valachorum*” laid before the Emperor Leopold II in 1791. Maria Theresa and her sons—Joseph II and Leopold II—were on the whole benevolently disposed to their Rumanian subjects, but they encountered every hindrance to reform in the close corporation of three “nations”—Magyars, Szeklers (racially one with the Magyars), and Germans—who composed the Transilvanian Diet. It was their opposition which prevented Joseph II from raising the Rumanian “nation” to the same status. But there was worse in store. The year 1848 with its universal movement of revolt inspired the Rumans to a great national demonstration at Blaj (Blasendorf). In no sense anti-dynastic, this assembly demanded for the Rumans equal rights with the other “nations” of Transylvania. The Magyars took alarm. The Hungarian Diet had already earlier in the year voted

THE JUSTICE OF RUMANIA'S CAUSE

at Pressburg the union of Transilvania with Hungary. The Transilvanian Diet was forced by the predominant Magyar element to do likewise, and the Ruman population had no means except by the demonstration just mentioned to record their protest. The Magyar insurrection and its suppression the following year annulled the Act of Union. For a decade, old conditions returned. At last, in 1863, the Transilvanian Diet agreed to the recognition of the Ruman as a "nation." But two years later the same Diet, under extreme pressure from Budapest, overrode the protests of the Saxon and Rumanian representatives and voted union with Hungary. After his defeat by Prussia, Francis Joseph was compelled to agree to this as to other Hungarian demands, and in 1867 the Hungarian Parliament legalised and regulated the union.

- The half-century which followed has seen Transilvania under purely Magyar rule. It is true the great Hungarian statesmen, Eötvös and Deák, had favoured a policy of conciliation of the other nationalities, and the Nationalities Law of 1868 provided glowing promises of the fair treatment of the non-Magyar nationalities. Unfortunately most of its promises have been ignored or deliberately broken. Hungarian has not only been made the official language, but is forced on Rumanian schools and churches. The Ruman can secure no teaching of their own language in the State schools, which they are generally obliged to support, and can only keep their language alive

THE JUSTICE OF RUMANIA'S CAUSE

by maintaining additional Church schools at their own expense. Even these schools the Hungarian Government, especially since Count Apponyi's education laws of 1907, has been engaged in Magyarising. Hardly a voice has been raised among the Magyars in favour of a fairer and saner policy, and in the Hungarian Parliament repeated and unanimous demands have been made for the enforcement of a ruthless policy of Magyarisation in defiance of even the limited privileges accorded the Rumans by the law of 1868.

Politically, the Rumans have been almost unrepresented. They have not even enjoyed the restricted franchise accorded to the Magyar population, and the franchise is especially narrow in Transilvania. In Rumanian districts the electoral boundaries are drawn in such a way as to diminish as far as possible the weight of the Rumanian vote. The Rumanian elector finds in many cases that the polls are almost inaccessible to him. Not content with this the Hungarian authorities have resorted to every method of terrorisation and corruption—methods exemplified to the full in the last general elections of 1910,* at which the Hungarian Government pleaded that it "only" employed 194 battalions of infantry and 114 squadrons of cavalry. Cynics may congratulate the Budapest authorities on the decisive victory obtained with these "small"

* Fully described in R. W. Seton-Watson's "Corruption and Reform in Hungary."

THE JUSTICE OF RUMANIA'S CAUSE

forces; for only eight representatives of non-Magyar nationality were returned to the House of [413] Representatives, although—according to the Hungarian census of that year there were only 10,050,575 Magyars in Hungary out of a total population [excluding Croatia and Slavonia] of 18,217,918. Exactly *five* members of the Rumanian National Party (and *two* other non-Nationalist Rumanians) were returned, though on a proportional basis there should be at least *sixty-nine* [and as the Hungarian census returns are certainly falsified, nearer *eighty*]. What would the world say if the British Government only allowed *four* Irish Home Rulers instead of eighty-five to sit in the House of Commons? Yet the Rumans form between a sixth and a fifth of the total population of Hungary, whereas the Irish (including Ulster Unionists) are about a tenth of the total population of the British Isles.

The Rumanian National Party has had to face many storms of persecution. Founded in 1881, it from the first pleaded for equal and democratic treatment of all Hungarian subjects, for the execution of existing laws, the use of the Rumanian language in Rumanian districts, the restoration of autonomy to Transylvania, and the introduction if possible of manhood suffrage. Failing to obtain any hearing for their cause, the party in 1892 attempted to petition King Francis Joseph directly. The Hungarian Premier prevented them from obtaining access to the Throne, and the publication of the petition brought down

THE JUSTICE OF RUMANIA'S CAUSE

on the heads of the authors a long array of sentences of imprisonment. Undeterred by persecution, the Rumanian Nationalists have continued their struggle for fair and equal treatment. In 1906 they secured the return of 14 members to the Hungarian House of Representatives, but four years later the Magyar authorities saw to it that the numbers were reduced by corruption and intimidation to five. Meanwhile the Rumanian press was systematically threatened, suppressed and sentenced. Between 1884 and 1894 there were at least 40 trials of editors and journalists. Almost every paper in turn has been suppressed. Since 1914 the régime of terrorisation has been intensified. Papers like *Românul* and *Poporul român* of Arad, and at least eight other papers which supported the national claims, were suppressed between August 1, 1914, and May 21, 1916. Others, like *Gazeta Transilvaniei*, have been taken over and used by the Hungarian Government. A long list could be given of Transilvanian journalists, writers, and professional men who have taken refuge in the kingdom of Rumania—headed by the poet and dramatist, Octavian Goga, who in one of his plays, "Domnul Notar," has admirably shown up the way elections are conducted or misconducted in Hungary. Rumania is at present full of Transilvanian refugees, priests, professors, journalists and other well-educated men who have given up in despair any hope of securing justice and recognition of their rights in Hungary. General Dragalina, who

THE JUSTICE OF RUMANIA'S CAUSE

commanded the Rumanian First Army at the battle of Târgul-Jiu last October, but was killed ere the victory was won, was a Rumanian from the Banat, trained in the Austro-Hungarian Army. Since 1914 the policy of the Magyar Government has become increasingly intransigent. Freedom of speech and action has ceased to exist. The Rumans have lost enormous numbers fighting in Galicia, in Russia, on the Isonzo. So the Magyars hope to "settle" the Rumanian Question by extermination of the Rumans. Last August the Hungarian Government forced the Rumanian Orthodox Church to elect as its new Metropolitan a certain Vasile Mangra, who abandoned some years ago his national principles for the hope—soon realised—of preferment. Professions of loyalty are being extorted from the Rumanian clergy, teachers and parliamentary representatives, who are compelled to forswear their principles in order to protect their fellow-Rumans' lives and property. Recent debates in the Hungarian House of Representatives have, however, given the lie to these professions. In reply to interpellations the Hungarian Minister of Justice, Balogh, admitted that a great part of the Ruman population had "traitorously" helped the invading Rumanian armies. Balogh promised severe punishment of these offences. Already 1,000 sentences of imprisonment and 600 of confiscation of property have been inflicted (*Pester Lloyd*, March 10th). *Pesti Napló* recently announced the formation of a new and more docile

THE JUSTICE OF RUMANIA'S CAUSE

Rumanian party under Mangra! *Pesti Hirlap*, of February 14th, coolly informs the Rumans of Hungary that they are not a nationality, but merely Ruman-Magyars, and henceforth must all learn Magyar. So much for the Law of Nationalities of 1868!

RUMANIA AND THE CAUSE OF DEMOCRACY AND FREEDOM.

We have seen that there are few better instances of a clear-cut issue between right and wrong, justice and injustice, oligarchic tyranny and democratic aspirations, than between the Magyar rulers and the Ruman oppressed subjects of Eastern Hungary. For decades their liberation has been the dream and hope of their brethren in the Rumanian kingdom. King Charles had hoped to attain their emancipation by friendly agreement with Hungary and Prussia. But his long reign (1866-1914) coincided with the increasing and unabashed persecution of the Rumans of Hungary. The hope of peaceful settlement gradually melted away and every Rumanian was beginning to realise that sooner or later freedom must be won by the sword. The European War offered at last an opportunity which could not be lost. For two years Rumania was forced to wait—not in order, as has been ignorantly stated, to “rush to the succour of the victors”—but for the moment when, her own military arrangements improved, she could shed the blood of

THE JUSTICE OF RUMANIA'S CAUSE

her sons with *some* hope, though at frightful risk, of delivering her oppressed brethren. Even August 1916 was, as events have proved, too soon. But Rumania has at least played the heroic part of facing great risks and enduring great suffering for an ideal—the union of the Rumanian race and the cause of democratic progress and national freedom.

For the issues at stake were not merely Transylvania, the Banat, Bukovina. With the question of liberating the Rumans of Austria-Hungary and uniting them in a "Great Rumania" was bound up the future of democracy and freedom in Rumania itself. As we have seen, the Rumans of Hungary are socially and politically democrats. They are hard-working, intelligent and keenly alive to and eager for the progress of education and self-governing institutions, for the maintenance of which they have had to pay in money and tears. They are dour because they have had to fight a dominant race, and thrifty because only by thrift could they meet the double charges laid on them by the State and voluntarily undertaken by themselves to maintain their own churches and schools. Their incorporation in the kingdom of Rumania must be—and the fact is universally admitted—a great asset for the cause of progress and democracy.

Political and social conditions in Rumania to-day are by no means perfect, and there are few Rumanians who would not frankly admit the fact. Rumania is politically a very young

THE JUSTICE OF RUMANIA'S CAUSE

country. The medieval State, in which under Turkish suzerainty the two Principalities of Wallachia and Moldavia had remained up till 1830, offered little chance of political education to the bulk of the Rumanian people. The country was ruled, in consultation with two Divans of *boeri* (great landed proprietors), by princes nominated by the Porte for seven years. From 1711-1821 these princes were generally of Constantinopolitan Greek extraction. Many of them were honest and well-intentioned, but the system automatically produced widespread corruption and unjust exploitation of the native inhabitants. Greek ecclesiastical foundations held a great part of the land, and the rest was the property of *boeri*, who held the peasant in a state of villenage, working for them so many days of the year in return for the right to enjoy a small percentage of what was produced. These peasants had no political rights. The revolution of 1848 introduced a new atmosphere of democracy, but the peasants were too uneducated to take advantage of the moment. It was Alexander Cuza, first prince of the United Principalities (1859-1866), who took the first practical steps to alleviate their lot. By arbitrary means he forced on an apathetic legislature laws reforming the land, franchise, and education questions. The Church was largely de-Hellenised and to a large extent expropriated, schools were introduced, and a measure of manhood suffrage was carried. Most important of all, a considerable portion of land

THE JUSTICE OF RUMANIA'S CAUSE

was given over to the peasants to hold in their own right. To save them from the clutches of usurers and land-grabbers they were forbidden by law to alienate their properties for 50 years. The period was up in 1914, but the European War has temporarily lengthened it.

Out-and-out Liberals have always felt that these reforms were not enough. To begin with, though the suffrage was universal it was not equal. Voters were divided into three colleges on a basis of wealth and education, and illiterate peasants were only allowed to vote through representatives of each village commune. The great peasant population of the country had therefore no adequate means of making its voice heard. Wise and good ruler as the late King Charles was, he inherited from his Prussian blood and upbringing an instinctive dread of democracy, and of the rule of the uneducated masses, and found in the three-college system a parallel to the far more antiquated and less justified three-class system of Prussia. Again the peasants had a legitimate grievance over the land question. As population increased, the land grants of 1864 became more and more strikingly inadequate, and the unrest found expression in the peasants' revolts of 1888 and 1907. It was generally recognised that the situation must be taken in hand before long, but party politics and vested interests postponed a thorough settlement. Only the imminence of intervention in the war prevented a full discussion of the question in the

THE JUSTICE OF RUMANIA'S CAUSE

parliamentary session of 1916. The war has, however, shown that anomalies that might once be excused can no longer be tolerated. Not only Liberals and Conservative Democrats, but even patriotic Conservatives like the late Nicolae Filipescu assented to the future introduction of equal suffrage, for he knew that with it was bound up the Transilvanian question. On December 22nd last, when the Rumanian Parliament met in Iashi (Jassy), the King emphasised the fact in his speech.

"The peasants should know that they are fighting for national unity in a battle for political and economic freedom. Their valour gives them still stronger rights to the soil they have been defending, and imposes on us more strongly than ever the duty of carrying through when the war is over the agrarian and electoral reforms on the basis of which this representative assembly was elected." In an address to his troops early in April, the King reaffirmed the promise of "the grant of land and political rights."

With agrarian and franchise reform is bound up the Jewish question. There are to-day something like 300,000 Jews in Rumania, for the most part in Moldavia. They immigrated there in two big waves—after the Polish partitions (1772–1795) and after the Treaty of Adrianople (1829). Keenly alive to commercial and industrial undertakings, they soon absorbed most of the trade of the principality. Their higher level of education and business talent qualified them to control

THE JUSTICE OF RUMANIA'S CAUSE

the whole economic life of the country. Add to this that their natural language was German, or Yiddish, and it will be understood that even quite fair-minded Rumanians might well dread and seek to thwart their triumphal progress. The Jews have accordingly been hampered and hindered in their civic life. While they have to serve in the army, they could not obtain commissioned rank in it—an unjustifiable disability to which Jews in the Prussian army are also subjected. They were prevented from entering the legal profession or obtaining any Government post. Heavy restrictions were placed on their residence in the country villages, where formerly they had owned the inns and taverns and acted as middlemen and moneylenders. Above all, in spite of the stipulations of the Treaty of Berlin, the Rumanian Government refused to facilitate for Jews the acquisition of citizenship. They could only be naturalised individually by Act of the Rumanian Parliament—a difficult and invidious distinction. Patriotic Rumanian Jews have rightly resented these disabilities. Moderate and thoughtful Rumanian opinion is on their side. There is not the faintest doubt that agrarian and franchise reform will be followed by relief for the Jews. The Iashi correspondent of the London *Morning Post* (April 7) learns “from an authoritative Rumanian source that the Iashi Government proposes to grant full political and civil rights to the Jews.” Rumanian Jews have shed their blood side by side with

THE JUSTICE OF RUMANIA'S CAUSE

their Christian fellow-countrymen in this war. The Jews of Hungary, whom the Magyars for political reasons have always favoured, must find equal treatment for themselves and their co-racials in the new kingdom of Great Rumania. With the extension of the franchise there will no longer be a danger of the Jewish vote exercising an undue influence, and Rumanian Jews will have a splendid chance of building up the temporarily shattered prosperity of the country. The Rumanian peasant is naturally the most tolerant of men. In Rumania and Rumanian-Hungary Orthodox, Uniat, Roman Catholic, Lutheran, Calvinist, Jew, Armenian, Gipsy, Tatar, Turk live contentedly side by side. Moldavia and Dobrogea have served as havens of refuge for the various heretics—Skoptsy and Molokany and other such fanatics—who have in the past found life in the Russian Empire intolerable. Impartial observers—including Jewish observers—have admitted the innate tolerance of the Rumanian peasant. Anti-Jewish legislation in the past has been due pre-eminently to social and political reasons which will no longer obtain in an enlarged and democratised Rumania. The Jew will be admitted to the full privileges of the Rumanian citizen. In return the Rumanian Jews will find it both their privilege and their duty to identify their interests still more fully with those of the country, and rebut for ever natural, if largely unjustified, charges that they are in sympathy

THE JUSTICE OF RUMANIA'S CAUSE

with Germany rather than with their Rumanian fatherland.

RUMANIA'S INTERNATIONAL POSITION.

The defects in the constitutional and social condition of contemporary Rumania, to which we have alluded, are the defects not of a decadent but of an immature political community. Like Russia, Rumania has not yet fully entered into the European heritage of which barbarian tyranny and lack of connection with the Latin and Anglo-Saxon worlds have so long deprived her. It is a frequent accusation of the press of the Central Powers against Rumania that she is a thoroughly decadent and disunited Power. (It is interesting to remember that down to 1913 German writers were accustomed to point with pride to Rumania as a splendidly organised State on the Prussian model, with its large German community and flourishing German schools.) The Bulgarian press is proud of contrasting the free, democratic Bulgarian nation—the foreign policy of which recent events have shown to be entirely in the hands of its foreign Tsar and his nominees—with the Rumanian, composed as it is of selfish and corrupt boyars and an oppressed and unenlightened peasantry. The Hungarian Socialist organ, *Népszava*, has repeatedly declaimed against the medievalism and feudalism and Byzantinism of Rumanian public life, and encouraged the Magyar and

THE JUSTICE OF RUMANIA'S CAUSE

non-Magyar masses to forget their own grievances and vent their enthusiasm against absolutism on the public foe. Not very long ago the *Frankfurter Zeitung* wrote a highly-coloured picture of the deplorable conditions in Rumania, and represented the German conquerors as liberators and apostles of freedom. We have seen how much and how little justification there is for charges, which, even if justified, it would ill become Rumania's enemies to make. Rumanian political and social life is ultra-modern if compared with the reactionarism and oligarchism which obtain in Hungary. As for Prussia—in Rumania as in Russia, Prussia's best, if not her only, friends, were to be found among the very boyars and exploiters of the people whom she so self-righteously abuses. It is true that German capital and German science have powerfully helped in the development of modern Rumania—not out of altruism, but as a good commercial speculation. But what sympathy or help has Germany given to the growth of democratic feeling and cultural development there? It is from France and Italy that Rumanians have drawn their political and spiritual inspiration. From Berlin and Vienna they received little but trade wares, political loans, and diplomatic instructions.

In Rumania's fight for freedom the economic side is not unimportant. Just as in Italy, just as in Russia, so in Rumania, German economic expansion, set in motion in the '80's by Bismarck,

THE JUSTICE OF RUMANIA'S CAUSE

passed gradually into political control of the country. Not only the trade but the finances of Rumania soon became predominantly the sphere of German banks such as the Deutsche Bank and the Disconto Gesellschaft. The Entente Powers apathetically allowed Germany to enmesh Rumania financially, and with German finance goes hand-in-hand German foreign policy. A German victory or a "drawn" war would mean the complete political and economic subjection of Rumania to the Central Powers.

Like Italy, Rumania had no choice but to be the ally or enemy of Austria-Hungary. There were such acute differences between the two neighbouring States—over Transilvania in especial—that they must be settled or postponed by war or alliance. The alliance concluded secretly in 1883 between Rumania and the Germanic Empires was the sole alternative to a disastrous war. As the Rumanian Declaration of War on Austria-Hungary explained, "Rumania," in concluding the Treaty of 1883, "saw in the relations of friendships and alliance which were established between the three Great Powers a precious pledge for her domestic tranquillity, as well as for the improvement of the lot of the Rumanians of Austria-Hungary." In the course of three decades she found, however, that not only had she thrown in her lot with Powers whose policy and political principles ran counter to her own, but had not even by doing so saved the Rumans of Hungary from continued persecution. Like

THE JUSTICE OF RUMANIA'S CAUSE

Italy, Rumania was not the sinner but the sinned against in the matter of treaties. Just as Austria-Hungary's Balkan policy, aggressive and pan-German, broke the spirit of the alliance with Italy, so was it also with Rumania. If again, the flagrant and continued oppression of the Rumans of Hungary could not be mitigated by friendly representations and political help from the neighbouring kingdom, then it must be settled by the sword. "The bribes that these two eminently sordid Governments exacted as their price for entering the war"—to return to the *New York World's* criticism—were nothing more nor less than the demand that the Powers of the Entente who have proclaimed that they are championing the principle of nationality and the rights of small peoples should apply their general principles to the salient case of the Rumanians of Austria-Hungary. The States now fighting the battle of Civilisation and Christianity should only be proud that included in their program is a demand so clearly justified by history, by equity, and by common-sense. Grievously as she suffered for her ideal, Rumania, through the mouth of her king and foremost men, has proclaimed her belief that it was "worth while," and that she does not regret it. She has risked all for Justice and Freedom, let justice and freedom be her reward.

Printed in Great Britain by Eyre & Spottiswoode, Ltd.,
East Harding Street, London, E.C. 4.

TORIES.



